Interview Summary

Application No. 09/108,673 Applicant(s)

Examiner

Teng et al Group Art Unit



	William Sandals	1636	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):		
(1) William Sandals	(3)		<u></u>
(2) Paul Legaard, Esq.	(4)		
Date of Interview Sep 6, 2001	-		
Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant	2) applicant's representative	e]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes	e) 🖾 No. If yes, brief descripti	on:	
Claim(s) discussed: all active claims			
Identification of prior art discussed: US 5,994,062 and WO 97/05903			
Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reached.	g) 🛭 was not reached. h)] N/A.	
Substance of Interview including description of the general any other comments:	nature of what was agreed to it	f an agreemen	t was reached, or
It was agreed that the prosecution would be reopenned with	th a new rejection under 35 USC	C 103 to incorp	oorate the
obviousness of a combination of references to make obvious	us two fatty acids in the compos	sition and meth	ods of the claims.
			``````````````````````````````````````
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no davailable, a summary thereof must be attached.)			
i) 🛛 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separ	rate record of the substance of t	he interview (i	f box is checked).
Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORM INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MP already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROSUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record	EP section 713.04). If a reply to DM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO R	o the last Offic	e action has MENT OF THE
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.			

## Interview Summary

Application No. 09/108,673 Applicant(s)

Examiner

Group Art Unit

Teng et al

_	William Sandals	1636	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):		
(1) William Sandals	(3)		
(2) Paul Legaard, Esq.			
Date of Interview	-		
Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant	2) applicant's representative		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)   Yes	e) 🛛 No. If yes, brief description	n:	
Claim(s) discussed: all active claims			
Identification of prior art discussed:			
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached Substance of Interview including description of the general any other comments:	•		was reached, or
We discussed the meaning of the term "fatty acid". It was which is biochemically defined as a fatty acid, and no most term "fatty acid" as construed in the claims. This being the forth in the Final Office Action, mailed on June 15, 2001.	dification of a fatty acid is permitte ne case, the rejection of the claim:	ed within the c s was not app	definition of the ropriate as set
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amen allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no available, a summary thereof must be attached.)			
i) 🛛 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sepa	rate record of the substance of th	ne interview (if	box is checked).
Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORM INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MF already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record	PEP section 713.04). If a reply to OM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO F	the last Office LE A STATEN	action has IENT OF THE
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.			

Application No.



Interview Summary

09/108,673

Examiner

**WILLIAM SANDALS** 

**Group Art Unit** 1636

Teng et al

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):
(1) WILLIAM SANDALS (3) Niel Bartfeld, Esq.
(2) Paul Legaard, Esq. (4)
Date of Interview Jul 12, 2001
Type: a) ☑ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If yes, brief description:
Claim(s) discussed: all
Identification of prior art discussed: WO 97/05903 and US 5,994,062
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) ⊠ was not reached. h) N/A.
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:
We discussed the chemical nature of a fatty acid mono and diglyceride. It was agreed that this chemical did not meet the precise definition of 'fatty acid". We discussed the possibility of limiting the claims to "antisense" to avoid the enablement rejection. We discussed the issues related to 35 USC 112, second paragraph.
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)
i) 🛮 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).
Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.